UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,205	10/569,205 10/13/2006 Olaf Hirsch		US03 0284 US2	2017
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 08/24/200	EXAMINER		
	ECTUAL PROPERTY	GU, YU		
1109 MCKAY	DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA	95131	2617		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/569,205	HIRSCH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
YU (Andy) GU	2617	

	YU (Andy) GU	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 cension and the corresponding amount of the chartened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two months	e of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		ha iaaaa fan			
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	it canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a			
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Lester Kincaid/	MII (Andy) GII/					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/YU (Andy) GU/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant submits that the limitation "single frequency band" has support in the original disclosure. Applicant points to various parts of the instant Specification where references to 802.11 standards are made as sufficient support for the limitation single frequency band. Such mentioning in the instant Specification is general in nature, and exemplary as to the applicability of the instant invention. However, in no where does the instant Specification describes the frequency location of a preamble and payload as detailed by claim 1. Therefore, the examiner maintains the U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection, for including new matter.

Furthermore, the Applicant submits that the Examiner's proposed interpretation of "single frequency band" does not apply in this case (see page 10 of Applicant's remarks). However, the Applicant cites the example -"an incoming signal is transmitted in the 2.4 GHz band under the IEEE 802.11 standard" (see Applicant's remarks page 7-8) as written requirement support for "single frequency band". Therefore, it is evident that the Applicant's own interpretation of a single frequency band directs to a group of nearby frequencies (i.e. 2.4 GHz band under IEEE 802.11 contains 11 channels or 11 sub-bands each with a center frequency and a bandwidth). Therefore, the Examiner's interpretation of the term "single frequency band" is consistent with the support for the term rising from Applicant's original disclosure. In view of foregoing reason, the examiner submits that Reiner discloses that the preamble (e.g. pulse sequence) resides in the frequency range from 869.4 MHz to 869.65 MHz, and the payload (e.g. basic information) resides in the frequency range from 869.4 MHz to 870.0 MHz, and the two non-overlapping frequency ranges belong to the single (i.e. downlink) frequency band ranging from 869.4 MHz to 870.0 MHz.